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This paper will provide a commentary on the pericope(s) concerning the "rich man" and wealth in Mark 10:17-27, and offer some theological and ethical reflections on the same themes. To begin the first, exegetical section, let me begin with my translation of our passage, reversing the order usually given for vv. 24 and 25 (1):
17. And (as) he (was) setting out on the journey(,) one running to and kneeling to him asked him, Good teacher, what shall I do to inherit eternal life?
18. But Jesus said to him, Why (do you) call me good? No one (is) good except one(,) God.
19. You know the commaridments: do not murder, do not commit adultery, do not steal, do not qive false witness, do not defraud, honor your father and your mother.
20. But he said to him, Teacher, all these I observed from my youth.
21. And Jesus fixing his gaze upon him loved him and said to him, You lack one thing: go, sell everything you have and give (it to the) poor, and come! follow me.
22. But he being gloomy (or shocked (2) ) at the statement went away sorrowful, for he had many possessions.
23. And looking around Jesus says to his disciples; How difficult the ones having wealth shall enter into the kingdom of God!
25. Easier it is (for) a camel to go through (the) eye of a needle than (for) a rich man to enter into the kingdom of God.
24. And the disciples were astounded at his words. But Jesus again answering says to them, Children, how difficult it is to enter into the kingdom of God;
26. But they were exceedingly amazed saying to themselves, And who can be saved?
27. Iooking at them Jesus says, For man (it is) impossible but not for God, for all things (are) possible for God.

## EXEGETICAL COMMENTARY

We are here dealing with two basic periccpes, one being the story of Jesus' encounter with a potential disciple who refuses his call and the other being a conversation between Jesus and his disciples on wealth. The two pericopes are closely linked in the tradition, so as almost to be one whole, and Taylor thinks its origin is ultimately an eyewitness (3). The passage serves a catechetical purpose; to an original story (vv.17-22) is added the conversation (vv.23-27), which is a Markan redaction, combihing several logia which preceded it (4). Furthermore, vv. 24b27 constitute an appendix 'fundamentally changed by Mark' (5). It serves to enlarge a pre-markan saying regarding riches (6); 'the final editing includes phrases which draw back from the severity towards possessions which characterized the earlier tradition' (7). Schweizer believes that vv. 23b, 25 and 27b. are Jesus' original words concerning riches (he considers the story itself to be about discipleship) (8).
v. 17. The story is set in Jesus' way to Jerusalem (ódos), a catechetical and discipleship theme (9). EkTlopeúer $\theta$ al is a favorit Varkan word ('When Jesus calls he is usually in motion') (10). Elis ("one") without the substantive is unique here (11); the anonymity recalls the nameless rich man of Luke who feasted. with Lazarus at his door. Only at the end are we told he is rich (12). The 'kneeling' is unusual for a Greek or a Jew to do (13); it 'only' means to fall at someone's knees (14), and was a sign of reverence before an important person (cf. $1 \mathrm{Kgs} \mathrm{1:15)} \mathrm{(15)} .\mathrm{The} \mathrm{ques-}$
tion he asks concerns the ultimate and absolute: what might he do (is this a question on the essence of Torah? [16]) to enter into 'life in the coming age', Swim aíw ́vios (the LXX render-
 gift from God (17). Here, as in John, eternal life is equivalent to kingdom of God (18), and means not a present possession (cf. In $3: 15$ ) but life after death in relation to the resurrection of the dead (cf. 2 Mc 7:9). Cf. also Mk 9:43-45. On Kdnporófos. cf. LXX Lev 20:24.
v. 18. The epithet 'good' is very rare in Jewish literature (used once in sense of 'kind master') but is common in Greek (19). There is an apparent touch of flattery here, which Jesus shrinks from as 'shocking his religious sense' (20). Therefore Jesus' admonition (the adjetive will not be repeated).
v. 19. Continuing in a matter-of-fact manner, Jesus recounts the commandments which relate to dealings with one's neighbor (21). We do not have to wait until the end of the story to surmise that Jesus already has an intuitive appraisal of the man's situation: he has come seeking a definitive answer to his eligious quest, but he may not realize that the kingdom of God is not a matter of euphonic supplications, but of putting all aside in true obedience to God, which means taking up the cross and following Jesus. Jesus' words here are a challenge (22); his preaching is never reduced to the traditional understanding of the Jews, and in this instance far surpasses Judaism's teaching on wealth (23). Bornkamm thinks the reply was meant to be exhaustive (24, and see Lézasse 25 ). Whether it was or not. (I .
do not believe it was), it certainly was part of the answer to the question (26).

The list of commanoments is interesting. K. Thomas (27) believes that 'honor your father and your mother' was added at "Stage I", and that 'do not defraud' was added at "Stage IIa". It is a later adaition ommited in certain manuscripts (28), but this may be due to the fact that it is not one of the original Ten Commandments. But it was a commandment well-known in the Jewish ethical tradition which 'even pressed itself into the LXX in Dt 24:14' (29). It was added because of its appropriateness for a man of wealth (30);'it is the special temptation of
 fraud' ( 32 ; cf. $1006: 7 f$ ). In biblical Greek it refers to the keeping backithe wages of a hireling (33; cf. Nal 3:5, Jm 5:4). "The context of the prohibition in both Dt and Mk is related to conduct toward the poor" (34). See Légasse for a list of applications of this term, which is related to exploitation (35). VV. 21f. The man replies that he has done all this since his youth (was he mature, then? 36). Jesus' look is described by Gode: "ce reqard d'amour était en même temps un regard plein de pénétration par lequel Jésus discerna les bonnes et les mauvaises qualités de ce coeur, et qui lui inspira la parole suivante" (37). That recollection of Jesus' emotions is very typical of Mark (38) Jesus seeks the man by fixing his gaze; the man should 'prove the intrinsic worth of obedience by abandoning his possessions as a consequence of discipleship in total commitment' (39); he lacks one thing (not an act): to follow Jesus (40). In this man's case,
this means giving up 'all that was holding him back (keeping him bound to a "conventional goodness") from giving himself completely to the kingdom of God' (41).

> l'appelé est un riche et il a "de grands biens". Ce que Jésus estime superflu de préciser iorsou'il s'agit de pecheurs du lac de Tibériade, il le juge indispensable à la réalité meme d'une consécration à sa personne et au Royaume de Dieu, si celui qu'il convie est un possédant de ce monde. En aliénant ses biens et en les distribuant aux pauvres, le riche réalise la rupture effective sans laquelle il ne peut s'offrir tout entier... (42)
$\Delta \varepsilon \hat{p} \rho$ ('hither!') is an adverb used as en imperative (43; cf. 1:17f, 20, 2:14). 'Treasure in heaven' is not a superior degree of recompense, but the eschatological retribution, the eternal life which he seeks (44). "God's offer, which would grant him everything he is seeking, makes his refusal especially conspicuous" (45).
v. 22. "As he heard the sentence, his brow clouded over, the lighthearted optimism of his mood broke down ...."(46) ミTuyvás $\omega$, an unusual word (47), refers to the "sombre, gloomy man who broods over unwelcome thoughts"(48; cf. Ez 27:35, LXX). Wycliffe: "he was ful sorie in the word;"("the price was too great to pay even for eternal life.")(49). "Ce départ est le signe d'un refus, lequel s'accomplit dans la tristesse." (50)

## Kти́भata refers to possessions and properties of all

 kinds (51; cf. Acts 5:1, 2:45). This is the only story where the call to follow Jesus is refused; "Nark makes no conment ...." Says P. Lagrange : "I'évangéliste ne le blâme pas; qui ne le plaindrait?" (52). 'This cohesive episode transmits a memory not modified inits essential elements'.(53)
v. 23. There is a certain exasperation in Jesus' words, which lead to v. 24. V. 23 b is a key logion of Jesus regarding wealth (54). Note that the rich man had asked about eternal life as eschatological retribution, and Jesus here equates it with the kingdom of $\operatorname{God}(55 ; c f . \operatorname{Mk} 4: 19,6: 8,8: 36)$. Xpńرata, rare in the Synoptics, is more general than KTŋ́भaTa (Taylor, 56). $\Delta u \sigma K o ́ d \omega s$, significantly, occurs in the New Testament only in this context (57), as does dórkolos in v. 24.
v. 25. Following codex Bezae and other manuscripts, this verse should immediately follow v. 23 (58). There is no sign of Mark here; it is a vivid, genuine saying of Jesus (59). The camel here'is taken to be the largest animal on Palestinian soil'. "Jesus is using a typical oriental image to emphasize the imposesibility of something by way of violent contrast." "Entry into the kinçom of God is completely impossible for the rich." (60). "We thus have a similar rule to that of ádúvatov of $\mathrm{Hb} 6: 4 . . "$ Kápidov cannot be substituted for Káرそ hov, nor can Sià Tpף́ $\mu a t o s ~ p a \phi i ́ d o s ~(M t) ~ b e ~ t a k e n ~ f i g u r a t i v e l y ; ~ ' t h e r e ~ i s ~ n o ~ r e-~-~$ ference to a hawser or to a narrow gate in the city walls' (61).

 classical (Lk).(63).
v. 24. The disciples' astonishment is typically Narkan (64). Ferhap it is due to Jesus' contradicting the traditional Jewish view of riches as a blessing (65), but more likely the disciples are astounded at the hard saying of Jesus. Thus a softer logion
is created out of it (66). The pre-Markan saying about the danger of riches is now put in an overall salvation perspective (67). TEkva occurs only here in reference to the Twelve. "The Lord, in sympathy with their growing perplexity, adopts a tone of unusual tenderness." (68) Mark has generalized discipleship from abandonment of wealth to discipleship in and of itself, but the pericope illustrates why some do not heed the call of the Gospel (69; cf. Mk 4:14-20).
v. 26. More Narkan astonishment (70), this time increased, in mounting tension toward the climax. Susfiv is part of the vocabulary of the early church (71).
 the saying (72); the logion is a theological maxim (73; cf. LXX Zech 8:6, Job 42:2, Gen 18:14; Mk 9:23). The final emphasis is on the power of God (74), in whose hands we are.

## THEOLOGICAL AND ETHICAL COMMENTARY

The story of the rich man who refuses Jesus' call is an impportant one, found in all three Synoptics, and could well have its origin in an historical episode in the life of Jesus (75). This would not be surprising, when we examine what this story is about: it is about a concrete instance of refusal of the call of Jesus, set in the most detailed and propitious circumstances. A man eagerly runs up to Jesus, almost overdoes himself in signs of respect and reverence, and earnestly inquires as to the way to salvation. Jesus responds somewhat cautiously, perhaps taken aback by the man's impulsiveness. He recites the social Torah, al-
ready zeroing-in on what is missing in this man's life. The evancelist does not prejudice his reader by mentioning that the man is rich yet, but this fact must have been obvious to the parties involved. The man states that he has followed the Torah, but Jesus knows that not enough has been done to enter the kingdom. More than "conventional goodness" (76) is necessary. Jesus states what this "more" translates into: give what you have to the poor and become my follower, and what you are seeking you shall have. Eut the man cannot give up his riches, thus giving rise to what has reen called 'the sadiest story in the New Testament' (77). How was this story viewed in the early church? How must we view it today? We must not dissociate the two sides of the coin wealth/poverty. Wealth in itself would not seem to have such a noxias character as to exclude from the kingdom of God, which is the meaning of Jesus' saying about the camel and the eye of the needle. But having wealth becomes utterly noxious for salvation when it exists alongside of starvation, and nothing is done to alleviate it.

That is why John Chrysostom (78) could say that ' not to give to the poor out of one's goods is robbery and an attempt on their life,' and Easil that 'how can we not consider the person who bypasses the hungry body as being among the most ferocious of the wild beasts, deserving of all punishment and accountable as a homicide?' (79). Easil and Ambrose and the other Fathers speak about the biblical understanding that what God requires is not fasting or religious observances primarily, but to share our bread with the poor (80). Cf. the 'last judgment' in lit 25 (81).

We find a similar concern in the most primitive church. In 2 Co 8:2f,14, $9: 6 f f$, Paul expresses great concern for "extreme poverty" and liberal sharing, 'so that abundance may supply want as a matter of equality' (8:14), and 'cheerfully' (9:7). The Jerusalem church especially asked him that he remember the poor, 'which very thing I was eager to do' (Gai 2:10). In 1 Jn 2 , the author talks about the new (or old) commandment: to love one's brethren, not 'the things in the world' (v. 15). In1Jn 3, love is contrasted to hate, which is murder, and which means 'having the world's goods and seeing one's brother in need and yet closing one's heart to him' (vv. 11-18). Well known are the sayings in James: God has chosen the poor, whom the community dishonors, Whom the rich appress (82); 'whoever fails in one point of the law has violated it all' (2:10); faith without clothing or feeding the poor is dead (2:14ff.).

We therefore see that looking at the rich man's predicament in an isolated spirituality of detachment or of 'spiritual poverty' is to miss the whole seamy side of wealth. Even Plato saw the connection between wealth and iniquity (83), and concluded that to be truly happy one must be truly good, but to be truly good and very wealthy is impossible. See Final Note. 1

So perhaps the background of our pericopes is vividly illustrated in the Gospel of the Nazareans, full of Palestinian flavor. There are two rich men, and after the second has asked Jesus the same question as our rich man and has gotten the same answer and invitation, he
began to scratch his head and the thing did not please him. And the Lord said to him: How sayest thou: I have kept the law and the prophets? For it is written in the law: thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself, and lo, many of thy brethren, sons of Abraham, are clad in filth, dying for hunger, and thine house is full of many goods things, and nought at all goeth out of it unto them'. (84)

It is then that Jesus turns to Simon Peter and gives his saying about the camel and the eye of the needle.

The saying is severe, but it is in keeping with Jesus' strong emotions, especially in the face of misery (cf. Mk 1:41, and the possible reading "moved with anger" at leprosy) and hard-heartedness (3:5). There was no regular provision made for the poor in Palestine (85); Jesus' invitation to the rich seeker is perfectly in keeping with the demands of the kingdom: how is it possible to love God if one loves Mammon, if one is not doing everything possible to alleviate the misery of one's neighbors? (86). Thus Gregory Nazianzen says:

Do you think that humaneness toward your neighbor is not a requirement, but optional; not law, but counsel? I myself would much desire it and thus think of it; but I am frightened by the left hand with its goats and the imprecations made by the Judge; and this not because they had robbed or committed sacrileges or adulteries, nor some other prohibited thing; nothing of that brought their condemnation, but rather not having cared for Christ himself in the person of the poor. (87)

If it was riches that were behind the only recorded refusal of Jesus' call in the Gospels, let us not overlook the other reality associated with acquiring and keeping wealth: destitution.
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## Final Note.
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